Resolution #TC-19-X-XX

Approving the distribution of local pass-through SB 18-001 Multi-Modal Transportation Options Fund monies to be administered by CDOT Staff.

Approved by the Transportation Commission on June 20, 2019.

WHEREAS, SB 18-001 includes a provision that establishes a Multimodal Options Fund with \$80.12 Million of that fund specified as pass-through monies for projects selected by local governments; and

WHEREAS, SB 18-001 required consultation with consultation with the Transit and Rail Advisory Committee (TRAC), the Statewide Transportation Advisory Committee (STAC), transit advocacy organizations, and bicycle and pedestrian organizations in developing a distribution; and

WHEREAS, SB 18-001 requires a local match equal to the amount of the award, excepting that Transportation Commission may create a formula for reducing or exempting the match requirement for local governments or agencies due to their size or any other special circumstances; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Commission agreed to a MMOF Committee comprised of the consultative representatives; and

WHEREAS, the MMOF Committee did work to recommend, by consensus, a distribution methodology for the local portion, as required by law to be based on population and ridership; and

WHEREAS, the STAC and TRAC members did further review the work of the MMOF Committee and agree that the MMOF Committee's recommendation was sound; and

WHEREAS, Transit & Intermodal Committee (T&I) of the Transportation Commission did also have a brief opportunity to review the recommendations at the May 2019 meeting; and

WHEREAS, Transportation Commission did also review this information further at a workshop of the whole on June 19th 2019 meeting;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the distribution of \$80.12 Million is guided by a formula reserving approximately 5% (\$4 Million) for administration, oversight, and reporting purposes, and 81% (\$61.65 M) is thereafter is allocated to the five urbanized metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), and 19% (\$14.46 M) is also thereafter allocated to the ten rural transportation planning regions (TPRs).

NOW THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Transportation Commission approved suballocation formulas among MPOs to MPOs, and among TPRs to TPRs, attached;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Transportation Commission, allows MPOs and TPRs to consider match relief for counties under 50,000 population, or individual cities/towns under 20,000 population, and for which poverty is 12% (median) or higher; OR meeting those population criteria, some other extraordinary need/disadvantage can be clearly shown, AND all such match relief recommendations are ultimately approved by the Transportation Commission.

Herman Stockinger, Secretary	Date	
Transportation Commission of Colorado		

Allocation by Transportation Planning Region

Popt 2014 Libbox Disadv Pop Valicles Libbox Casah															
k Area 12.9% 10.0% 11.8% 64.9% Crash Crash Children						2002		roya ii u			A looks	Household Affordability "Population-Burden" (pop adjusted by			
K Area 12.3% 10.0% 11.8% 9.9% 4.4% 2.6% 7.4% 7.5% 13.5% 12.2% 6.2% 9.5% 9.5% 9.5% 9.5% 9.5% 9.5% 9.5% 9.4% 9.4% 9.5% 9.5% 9.4% 9.4% 9.5% 9.5% 9.4% 9.4% 9.5% 7.7% 9.5% 9.4% 9.4% 7.7% 9.5% 9.5% 9.4% 7.7% 9.5%	-	PR Name	Pop 2016	Jobs	Disady Pop	vehicles	Miles	Trips	Bike Crash	Crash		trans costs)	Alloc%	Allocation\$	\$uc
Carry Carr	α.	Pikes Peak Area	12.3%	10.0%	11.8%	9:6%	4.4%	2.6%				12.2%		\$6,865,226	526
Region 8.9% 8.0% 8.0% 4.7% 3.9% 12.9% 5.0% 9.4% 8.6% 7.7% 8.8% 7.7% 3.9% 1.2% 0.1% 0.2% 3.1% 3.0% 8.8% 7.7% 7.8% ey 2.0% 2.3% 2.0% 3.2% 2.0% 2		Denver Area	22.7%	64.3%	52.9%	62.5%	%9.69	80.5%				54.4%		\$45,292,984	384
ea 3.0% 2.3% 3.1% 3.1% 3.0% 2.9% 3.6% 2.9% 3.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 3.1% 2.9% 3.1% 2.9% 3.1% 2.9% 3.1% 2.9% 3.1% 2.9% 3.1% 2.9% 3.1% 3.2% 2.9% 3.1% 2.4% 3.5% 3.1% 3.0% 3.1% 3.0% 3.1% 3.0% 3.1% 3.0% 3.1% 3.0% 3.0% 3.1% 3.0% 3	-	Jorth Front Range	8.9%	8.0%	9.5%	7.3%	4.7%	3.9%				8.8%		\$5,591,491	491
ey 2.7% 2.6% 3.6% 2.8% 1.1% 0.6% 3.3% 2.0% 2.6% 3.1% 2.4% 3.4% 2.4% 3.5% 3	ш	ueblo Area	3.0%	2.3%	4.4%	4.8%	1.2%	0.7%				3.6%		\$2,128,884	384
1.5% 1.0% 1.7% 1.5% 0.1% 0.0% 0.2% 0.4% 1.4% 1.1% 1.1% 5.8 0.8% 1.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0% 0.0% 0.1% 0.0	10	Grand Valley	2.7%	2.6%	3.6%	2.8%	1.1%	%9.0				3.1%		\$1,773,755	755
Column C	ΙШ	astern	1.5%	1.0%	1.7%	1.5%	0.1%	0.0%				1.9%		\$1,031,838	338
Secondary 1.2% 1.9% 1.6% 1.6% 1.0% 0.0% 0.5% 0.4% 1.2% 1.2% 1.0% 1.	יטן	Southeast	0.8%	0.6%	1.3%	1.0%	0.1%	0.0%				1.1%		\$664,017	217
valley 1.8% 1.4% 2.6% 1.9% 5.0% 2.7% 1.0% 0.7% 1.7% 2.3% 2.3% 2.5% 2.5% 2.3% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 2.5% 3.5% 2.5% 3.5% <	(O)	an Luis Valley	1.2%	0.9%	1.9%	1.6%	0.1%	0.0%				1.6%		\$961,989	686
ain 3.1% 0.9% 0.2% 0.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.3% 1.3%	0	Sunnison Valley	1.8%	1.4%	2.6%	1.9%	2.0%	2.7%				2.3%		\$2,355,869	698
3.1% 3.5% 2.5% 1.7% 7.9% 6.4% 2.7% 2.6% 3.5% 3.5% 3.3% 3.9% 3.9% tange 1.1% 1.1% 1.0% 0.7% 0.7% 0.5% 1.1% 1.0% 1.0% 1.3% 0.7% 0.5% 1.1% 1.0% 1	(0)	outhwest	1.8%	1.6%	2.1%	0.9%	1.2%	0.4%				2.1%		\$1,247,368	368
tange 1.1% <t< td=""><th>느</th><td>itermountain</td><td>3.1%</td><td>3.5%</td><td>2.5%</td><td>1.7%</td><td>%6.7</td><td>6.4%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3.3%</td><td></td><td>\$3,751,566</td><td>266</td></t<>	느	itermountain	3.1%	3.5%	2.5%	1.7%	%6.7	6.4%				3.3%		\$3,751,566	266
Range 1.9% 1.4% 1.0% 0.1% 1.9% 1.0% 0.1% 1.9% 1.0% <t< td=""><th>Z</th><td>orthwest</td><td>1.1%</td><td>1.1%</td><td>1.0%</td><td>0.8%</td><td>1.2%</td><td>1.3%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.2%</td><td></td><td>\$993,003</td><td>203</td></t<>	Z	orthwest	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	1.3%				1.2%		\$993,003	203
Range 1.8% 1.0% 2.3% 0.8% 0.7% 0.8% 1.5% 1.5% 1.7% 1.0% <t< td=""><th></th><td>pper Front Range</td><td>1.9%</td><td>1.4%</td><td>2.1%</td><td>1.4%</td><td>1.0%</td><td>0.1%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.0%</td><td></td><td>\$1,492,904</td><td>904</td></t<>		pper Front Range	1.9%	1.4%	2.1%	1.4%	1.0%	0.1%				2.0%		\$1,492,904	904
Total 0.4% 0.3% 0.6% 0.1% 0.0% 0.1% 0.2% 0.3% 0.6% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.6% 0.4% 0.6% 0.0% <t< td=""><th>ŏ</th><td>entral Front Range</td><td>1.8%</td><td>1.0%</td><td>2.3%</td><td>1.3%</td><td>2.3%</td><td>0.8%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.1%</td><td></td><td>\$1,617,326</td><td>326</td></t<>	ŏ	entral Front Range	1.8%	1.0%	2.3%	1.3%	2.3%	0.8%				2.1%		\$1,617,326	326
100.0% 100.0%<	Ø	outh Central	0.4%	0.3%	%9.0	0.7%	0.2%	0.0%				0.5%		\$345,780	280
20.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 10.0% 100.0%		Total	Ì	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		Ì		100.0%		\$76,114,000	000
20.0% 15.0% 10.0% 10.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 4 100.0% \$ 5	_	Urban Formula wt		10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%							
53.0% \$ 4 15.3% \$ 1 10.9% \$ 1 17.2% \$ 1 3.7% \$		Rural Formula wt	,		15.0%	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%				10.0%	100.0%		
15.3% \$ 1 10.9% \$ 1 17.2% \$ 1 3.7% \$												CDOT Region 1	23.0%	\$ 40,347,649	49
10.9% \$ 17.2% \$ 1												CDOT Region 2		\$ 11,621,234	34
3.7% \$ 1 3.7% \$ N/A \$												CDOT Region 3		\$ 8,285,226	56
3.7% \$ N/A \$												CDOT Region 4		\$ 13,061,567	29
N/A \$												CDOT Region 5		\$ 2,798,324	24
												Administrative		\$ 4,006,000	00